

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

JOE ED STACKHOUSE,

**Petitioner,**

Civil No. 2:08-CV-10606

HONORABLE GERALD E. ROSEN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

v.

CINDI S. CURTIN,

### Respondent,

**OPINION AND ORDER TRANSFERRING THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF  
HABEAS CORPUS TO THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

Joe Ed Stackhouse, (“petitioner”), presently confined at the Oaks Correctional Facility in Manistee, Michigan, seeks the issuance of a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. In his application, petitioner challenges an unspecified conviction out of Marquette County, Michigan. In the interests of justice, the Court concludes that the proper venue for this petition would be in the Western District of Michigan and will therefore order that the petition be transferred to that district.

## I. DISCUSSION

28 U.S.C. 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (d) states:

Where an application for a writ of habeas corpus is made by a person in custody under the judgment and sentence of a State court of a State which contains two or more Federal judicial districts, the application may be filed in the district court for the district wherein such person is in custody or in the district court for the district within which the State court was held which convicted and sentenced him and each of such district courts shall have concurrent jurisdiction to entertain the application. The district court for the district wherein such an application is filed in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice may transfer the application to the other district court

for hearing and determination.

For the convenience of parties and witnesses, in the interests of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it might have been brought. See *Weatherford v. Gluch*, 708 F. Supp. 818, 819-20 (E.D. Mich. 1988)(Zatkoff, J.); 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). When venue is inappropriate, a court may transfer a habeas petition to the appropriate federal district court *sua sponte*. See *Verissimo v. I.N.S.*, 204 F. Supp. 2d 818, 820 (D.N.J. 2002).

In the present case, petitioner was convicted in Marquette County, Michigan and is presently incarcerated at the Oaks Correctional Facility in Manistee, Michigan, both located in the Western District of Michigan. A federal district court lacks jurisdiction to hear a state prisoner's habeas petition, where the petitioner was not convicted, sentenced, or incarcerated within that district. See *Wadsworth v. Johnson*, 235 F. 3d 959, 962-63 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000). Because petitioner was convicted and sentenced in a state court located in the Western District of Michigan and is incarcerated in a state facility likewise located in that district, the Western District of Michigan is the only court with jurisdiction to hear petitioner's § 2254 petition. See *Carmona v. Andrews*, 357 F. 3d 535, 537-39 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2004); See also *Manes v. Bell*, 2007 WL 1228093, \* 1 (E.D. Mich. April 23, 2007)(because both the place of petitioner's conviction and the locale of his incarceration were in the Western District of Michigan, the only proper place of venue would be that district)(citing *Gist v. Henderson*, 401 F. Supp. 819, 819 (W.D.N.Y. 1975)). Although neither party raised the jurisdictional issue, it is appropriate for this Court to consider the issue *sua sponte* because subject matter jurisdiction goes to the power of the courts to render decisions under Article III of the Constitution. See *Williams*

*v. Stegall*, 945 F. Supp. 145, 146 (E.D. Mich. 1996).

Because petitioner's conviction was imposed in a state court that was not located in the Eastern District of Michigan and petitioner is not presently in custody in this district, the Court will transfer the matter to the Western District of Michigan pursuant to § 2241(d). See *Dilworth v. Johnson*, 215 F. 3d 497, 499, n. 1 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000); *Manes*, Slip. Op. at \* 1. This Court expresses no opinion on the merits of the petition.

## **II. ORDER**

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** the Clerk of the Court to transfer this case to the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).

s/Gerald E. Rosen

Gerald E. Rosen  
United States District Judge

Dated: February 27, 2008

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record on February 27, 2008, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/LaShawn R. Saulsberry

Case Manager